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## This talk

A. Agrawal, A. Ali, S. Boyd. Minimum-Distortion Embedding. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 2021.
contributions:

- a framework unifying over a century's worth of work on embedding
- a novel algorithm for approximately solving embedding problems (implemented in PyMDE)
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## Embedding

- representation of abstract items $(1, \ldots, n)$ by vectors $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$
- used for data visualization or insight, downstream computational tasks
- should be faithful to original data in some way
- if two items are similar, vectors should be near each other
- if two items are dissimilar, vectors should not be near each other
- similarity is a property of pairs of items, and is application dependent
- nearness is a property of pairs of vectors, measured in Euclidean distance

MOIST

- handwritten digits
- 70k images
- 1.5 M pairs of similar/dissimilar images
- embed into 2 dimensions
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MNIST


## Applications

- interactive data exploration
- counties
- co-authorship networks
- citation networks
- genomes
- single-cell mRNA transcriptomes
- cardiac muscle movement
- rocks and minerals
- stock exchange orders
- words
- news documents
- (PyMDE has been used for all the above)


## History: 1900-1960s

- early research in psychology
- principal component analysis [Pea01]
- multi-dimensional scaling [Ric38]
- tractable (reduce to eigenproblems)



## History: 1990s-2000

- dimensionality reduction methods
- Isomap
- locally-linear embedding
- maximum variance unfolding
- Laplacian embedding
- tractable (reduce to eigenproblems)



## History: 2000-present

- emphasis on "solving" nonconvex problems
- t-SNE
- LargeVis
- UMAP
- neural networks
- not tractable (rely on heuristics)



## This talk

- a general framework for faithful embedding
- exactly reproduces many historical examples
- approximately reproduces others
- generates new kinds of embeddings
- an efficient heuristic optimization algorithm
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## Embedding

- an embedding of items $\mathcal{V}=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ items is a matrix

$$
X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}
$$

- rows $x_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\top}$ are the embedding vectors
- $m$ columns are features


## Distortion

- quality of an embedding is a function of the distances

$$
d_{i j}=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2}, \quad(i, j) \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \quad(i<j)
$$

- each pair $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ has an associated distortion function $f_{i j}: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- distortion of the embedding for $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ is

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)
$$

- examples

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} d_{i j}^{2} & \left(w_{i j} \text { a weight or similarity score }\right) \\
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=\left(d_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right)^{2} & \left(\delta_{i j} \text { a deviation or original distance }\right)
\end{array}
$$

Minimum-distortion embedding (MDE) problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { minimize } & E(X) \\
\text { subject to } & X \in \mathcal{X}
\end{array}
$$

- $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ is the variable
- $E(X)$ is the average distortion

$$
E(X)=\frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}} f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ is the set of allowable embeddings


## Solving MDE problems

- intractable, except in a few special cases that we will see later
- we develop an algorithm that approximately solves MDE problems
- reliably solves tractable problems
- reliably finds good embeddings for others


## Distortion functions from weights

item pairs $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ have associated weights $w_{i j} \in \mathbf{R}$

- $w_{i j}>0$ means $i$ and $j$ are similar
- $w_{i j}<0$ means $i$ and $j$ are dissimilar
- magnitude of weight conveys degree of (dis)similarity simplest example is the quadratic:

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} d_{i j}^{2}
$$

## Example

- $w_{i j}>0, f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} \log \left(1+d_{i j}^{2}\right)$
- $w_{i j}<0, f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} \log \left(1-\exp \left(-d_{i j}\right)\right)$

- captures basic idea of faithfulness:
- vectors for similar items should be near each other
- vectors for dissimilar items should not be near each other


## Distortion functions from deviations

item pairs $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ have associated deviations $\delta_{i j} \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$

- distortion function minimized when $d_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$


## Examples

- quadratic: $f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=\left(d_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right)^{2}$
- absolute: $f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=\left|d_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right|$
- fractional: $\max \{\delta / d, d / \delta\}-1$



## Pre-processing

- often useful to preprocess raw similarity data
- somewhat of an art, like feature engineering
- assume we have some original deviations
- to emphasize local structure
- similar pairs from $k$-nearest neighbors of each item
- distortion functions from weights
- $w_{i j}=+1$ for neighbors, optionally $w_{i j}=-1$ for non-neighbors
- to emphasize global structure
- compute shortest path distances
- distortion functions that preserve them


## Centering constraint

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{X \mid X^{\top} \mathbf{1}=0\right\}
$$

- centers the embedding vectors around the origin
- without loss of generality (distances not affected by translation)



## Anchor constraint

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{X \mid x_{i}=x_{i}^{\text {given }}, i \in \mathcal{K}\right\}
$$

- pins embedding vectors for anchored items (K)
- useful for incremental embedding, placement problems



## Standardization constraint

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{X \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} X=I\right., X^{\top} \mathbf{1}=0\right\}
$$

- feature columns uncorrelated with RMS value 1
- forces vectors to spread out, but not too much



## Quadratic MDE problem

- distortion functions

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} d_{i j}^{2}
$$

and standardization constraint $\mathcal{S}=\left\{X \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} X^{T} X=I\right., X^{T} \mathbf{1}=0\right\}$

- analytical solution via eigenvectors of a certain matrix
- many historical methods are special cases
- PCA (and kernel PCA)
- Laplacian eigenmap
- Isomap
- locally linear embedding
- classical MDS
- maximum variance unfolding
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Historical examples

- PCA [Pea01] starts with data matrix $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times p}$, with rows $y_{1}^{\top}, y_{2}^{T}, \ldots, y_{n}^{T}$
- embedding: top $m$ eigenvectors of $Y Y^{\top}$
- equivalent to solving a quadratic MDE problem with

$$
w_{i j}=y_{i}^{\top} y_{j}, \quad \mathcal{E}=\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq n\}
$$

interpretation

- $i$ and $j$ are similar (dissimilar) if angle between $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ is acute (obtuse)
- neutral to $(i, j)$ when $y_{i}, y_{j}$ are orthogonal

Laplacian eigenmap

- Laplacian eigenmap [BN02] starts with data matrix $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times p}$
- $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ if $y_{i}$ is among $k$-nearest neighbors of $y_{j}$
- embedding: $m$ bottom eigenvectors of graph Laplacian (excluding 1)
- equivalent to solving a quadratic MDE problem with $w_{i j}=+1$


## UMAP

- UMAP [MHM18] is a widely used dimensionality reduction method
- distortion functions from weights, with

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} \log \left(1+\alpha d^{\beta}\right), \quad w_{i j}>0
$$

and

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=w_{i j} \log \left(\frac{d^{\gamma}}{1+d^{\gamma}}\right) \quad w_{i j}<0
$$

( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are hyper-parameters); unconstrained

- $\mathcal{E}$ is a union of a $k$-nearest neighbor graph (edges have positive weights) and random sample of its complement (edges have negative weights)
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## Stationarity condition

- tangent cone to $\mathcal{X}$ at $X$ is the set

$$
\mathcal{T}_{X}(\mathcal{X})=\left\{V \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m} \mid \boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i s t }}(X+h V, \mathcal{X})=o(h), h \rightarrow 0\right\}
$$

- $V \in \mathcal{T}_{X}(\mathcal{X})$ is called a tangent to $\mathcal{X}$ at $X$
- a direction is a feasible descent direction if

$$
V \in \mathcal{T}_{X}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla E(X)^{T} V\right)<0
$$

- $X$ is stationary if the cone of feasible descent directions is empty


## Stationarity condition

- projected gradient at $X$ with constraint $\mathcal{X}$ is

$$
G=\Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{x}}(\nabla E(X))
$$

- $-G$ is the steepest feasible descent direction, i.e., the solution to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla E(X)^{T} V\right)+\frac{1}{2}\|V\|_{F}^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & V \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X})
\end{array}
$$

- stationarity condition can be written as

$$
G=0
$$

## Projections

algorithm requires two projections related to the constraint set $\mathcal{X}$

- $\Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{x}}$, projection onto tangent cone
- $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}$, projection onto constraints
- both are analytical (and cheap) for $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{S}$
example, for standardization constraint $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{x}}(Z)=Z-(1 / n) X Z^{T} X, \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}(Z)=\sqrt{n} U V^{T}, \quad Z=U \Sigma V^{T}
$$

takes $O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ time (usually $m \ll n$ )

## Traditional projected gradient method

- simplest algorithm is the traditional projected gradient method
- works, but extremely slow
for $k=0, \ldots$

1. Projected gradient. Compute gradient $\nabla E\left(X_{k}\right)$
2. Line search. Choose step length $t_{k}$
3. Update. $X_{k+1}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{k}-t_{k} \nabla E\left(X_{k}\right)\right)$

Traditional projected gradient method


Algorithms
(Doubly) projected gradient method

- more sophisticated is a doubly projected gradient method
- works, but slow
for $k=0, \ldots$

1. Projected gradient. Compute projected gradient $G_{k}=\Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{x}}\left(\nabla E\left(X_{k}\right)\right)$
2. Line search. Choose step length $t_{k}$
3. Update. $X_{k+1}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{k}-t_{k} G_{k}\right)$
(Doubly) projected gradient method


Algorithms

## Projected L-BFGS method

- extension of L-BFGS to handle constraints
- gradients replaced with projected gradients
- appears to be new, though just combines some old ideas
- works, and extremely fast
for $k=0, \ldots$

1. Projected gradient. Compute projected gradient $G_{k}=\Pi_{\mathcal{T}_{x_{k}}}\left(\nabla E\left(X_{k}\right)\right)$
2. Search direction. Compute L-BFGS search direction $V_{k}$, using $G_{k}$
3. Line search. Find step length $t_{k}$
4. Update. $X_{k+1}:=\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{k}+t_{k} V_{k}\right)$

## Search direction

in iteration $k$, search direction $V_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ chosen as

$$
\text { vec } V_{k}=-B_{k}^{-1} \text { vec } G_{k},
$$

where $B_{k} \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^{n \times m}$ is given by the recursion

$$
B_{j+1}^{-1}=\left(I-\frac{s_{j} y_{j}^{T}}{y_{j}^{T} s}\right) B_{j}^{-1}\left(I-\frac{y_{j} s_{j}^{T}}{y_{j}^{T} s_{j}}\right)+\frac{s_{j} s_{j}^{T}}{y_{j}^{\top} s_{j}}, \quad j=k-1, \ldots, k-M
$$

using $B_{k-M}=\gamma_{k} I$ ( $M$ is the memory size), and

$$
s_{j}=\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{j+1}-X_{j}\right), \quad y_{j}=\operatorname{vec}\left(G_{j+1}-G_{j}\right), \quad \gamma_{k}=\frac{y_{k-1}^{T} s_{k-1}}{y_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}}
$$

## Experiments

- quadratic MDE problem, $w_{i j}=+1$, edges chosen uniformly at random
- solved on CPU (quad core, 4 GHz )


Algorithms

## Experiments

| Problem dimensions |  |  | Embedding time (s) |  | Objective values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\|\mathcal{E}\|$ | $m$ | CPU | GPU | $E\left(X_{K}\right)$ | $E\left(X^{\star}\right)$ |
| $10^{3}$ | $10^{4}$ | 2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.432 | 1.432 |
| $10^{3}$ | $10^{4}$ | 10 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 7.797 | 7.795 |
| $10^{3}$ | $10^{4}$ | 100 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 104.5 | 104.5 |
| $10^{4}$ | $10^{5}$ | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.007 | 1.007 |
| $10^{4}$ | $10^{5}$ | 10 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 6.066 | 6.065 |
| $10^{4}$ | $10^{5}$ | 100 | 20.8 | 2.9 | 81.12 | 81.08 |
| $10^{5}$ | $10^{6}$ | 2 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.935 | 0.931 |
| $10^{5}$ | $10^{6}$ | 10 | 10.5 | 1.2 | 5.152 | 5.137 |
| $10^{5}$ | $10^{6}$ | 100 | 334.7 | 15.8 | 63.61 | 63.51 |

## Software

solution method implemented in PyMDE

- provides library of distortion functions
- extensible
- scales to many millions of items and pairs code: https://github.com/cvxgrp/pymde docs: https://pymde.org
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## US counties

data:

- 3,220 US counties
- represented by 34 features, from 2013-17 ACS 5-Year Estimates
- demographics, income, employment, commute, ...
embedding:
- 73k pairs of similar and dissimilar counties
- distortion functions from weights
- embed into $\mathbf{R}^{2}$
- color by fraction that voted democratic in 2016 presidential election (voting data not used to make embedding)


## Embedding (US counties)

- $\quad$ : NY, CA, PA...
- 
- $\swarrow$ : TX, NM, AZ ...



## Co-authorship network

data:

- 590k authors scraped from Google Scholar
- 16k authors with labeled academic discpline (bio, physics, EE, CS, AI)
embedding:
- $\approx 88 \mathrm{M}$ pairs of authors
- distortion functions to preserve graph distance (absolute loss)
- embed into $\mathbf{R}^{2}$


## Full network embedding (co-authorship network)

Academic disciplines (co-authorship network)

Embedding

## Summary

- MDE generalizes well-known embedding methods and leads to new ones
- heuristic algorithm scales to millions of items and pairs
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## Sanity checking

- when $m$ is 2 or 3 , color by held-out attributes
- check sensitivity by removing some distortion functions \& re-embedding
- manually inspect pairs with high distortion; e.g., for MNIST:

- ultimately, validation depends on downstream application


## Standardization constraint

- for $X \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} d_{i j}^{2}=n^{2} m
$$

- the RMS value of the $d_{i j}$,

$$
d_{\mathrm{nat}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 n m}{n-1}}
$$

can be interpreted as the natural or typical embedding distance

## Quadratic MDE problem

- equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { minimize } & \operatorname{tr}\left(X^{\top} L X\right) \\
\text { subject to } & X \in \mathcal{S}
\end{array}
$$

where $L \in \mathbf{S}^{n}$ has upper triangular entries

$$
L_{i j}= \begin{cases}-w_{i j} & (i, j) \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and diagonal entries $L_{i i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} L_{i j}$

- solution stacks $m$ bottom eigenvectors of $L$, excluding 1


## Graph layout



## Gradient

- index the distortion functions (and distances) in some fixed order

$$
f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p}, \quad p=|\mathcal{E}|
$$

- the gradient of the average distortion is

$$
\nabla E(X)=(1 / p) A C A^{T} X, \quad C=\operatorname{diag}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\left(d_{1}\right) / d_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}^{\prime}\left(d_{p}\right) / d_{p}\right)
$$

where $A$ is the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{E}$

- assumption throughout: $E$ is differentiable
- possibly nondifferentiable when embedding vectors are nondistinct
- nondifferentiability does not matter in practice


## Modified Wolfe conditions

find step length $t_{k}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\left(X_{k}+t_{k} V_{k}\right)\right) \leq E\left(X_{k}\right)+c_{1} t_{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(G_{k}^{T} V_{k}\right) \\
& \left.\mid \operatorname{tr}\left(G_{k+1}^{T} V_{k}\right)\right)\left|\leq c_{2}\right| \operatorname{tr}\left(G_{k}^{T} V_{k}\right) \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<c_{1}<c_{2}<1$ are constants (typically $10^{-4}$ and 0.9).

- first inequality is a modified sufficient decrease condition
- second inequality is a modified curvature condition


## Experiments (GPU)

- quadratic MDE problem, $w_{i j}+1$, edges chosen uniformly at random
- solved on GPU (NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1070)



## Single-cell genomics

- single-cell mRNA transcriptomes
- 7 patients with COVID-19, 6 healthy controls [Wil+20]
- 44k cells (1M pairs)
- embed into 3 dimensions
- 27s CPU, 6s GPU

Single-cell genomics



Single-cell genomics


MNIST

- handwritten digits
- 70k grayscale images
- 28-by-28 pixels, vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{784}$
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## Pre-processing (MNIST)

- want pairs of similar and dissimilar items, $\mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text {dis }}$
- Euclidean distance is a poor global metric on images, but a good local one
- take $\mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }}$ to be 15 Euclidean nearest-neighbors of images
- take $\mathcal{E}_{\text {dis }}$ to be $\left|\mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }}\right|$ randomly sampled non-neighbors
- $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\text {dis }},|\mathcal{E}|=1.5 \times 10^{6}$


## Embedding (MNIST)

- distortion functions

$$
f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)= \begin{cases}\log \left(1+d_{i j}^{2}\right) & (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }} \\ -\log \left(1-\exp \left(-d_{i j}\right)\right) & (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {dis }}\end{cases}
$$

- two embeddings, one with standardization constraint, other centered
- embed into $\mathbf{R}^{2}$
- roughly 6s GPU, 30s CPU
- color by digit
- digit label not used to make embedding


## Standardized embedding (MNIST)



## Centered embedding (MNIST)



## Comparison to UMAP, t-SNE (MNIST)



UMAP (left) and t-SNE (right) embeddings

PCA (MNIST)


## PCA (MNIST)

```
import pymde
mnist = pymde.datasets.MNIST()
X = pymde.pca(mnist.data, embedding_dim=2)
pymde.plot(X, color_by=mnist.attributes['digits'])
```


## Laplacian embedding (MNIST)

- $f_{i j}\left(d_{i j}\right)=d_{i j}^{2},(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {sim }}$
- standardization constraint $\mathcal{S}$
- embed into $\mathbf{R}^{2}$
- 1.8s GPU, 3.8s CPU
- embed into $\mathbf{R}^{3}$
- 2.6s GPU, 7.5s CPU


## Laplacian embedding (MNIST)



## Laplacian embedding (MNIST)

```
import pymde
mnist = pymde.datasets.MNIST()
mde = pymde.preserve_neighbors(
    mnist.data,
    embedding_dim=2,
    attractive_penalty=pymde.penalties.Quadratic,
    repulsive_penalty=None,
    constraint=pymde.Standardized())
X = mde.embed()
pymde.plot(X, color_by=mnist.attributes['digits'])
```

Laplacian embedding (MNIST)


## Distortions CDF (MNIST)

- sanity check: plot distortion CDFs
- most distortions small, but some very large
- plot with mde.distortions_cdf()



## High distortion pairs (MNIST)

- sanity check: inspect pairs with highest distortion
- get pairs with mde.high_distortion_pairs()
- analogous to checking classification errors in machine learning
- here, images look odd or poorly paired



## Standardized embedding (MNIST)

```
import pymde
mnist = pymde.datasets.MNIST()
mde = pymde.preserve_neighbors(
    mnist.data,
    embedding_dim=2,
    attractive_penalty=pymde.penalties.Log1p,
    repulsive_penalty=pymde.penalties.Log,
    constraint=pymde.Standardized())
X = mde.embed()
pymde.plot(X, color_by=mnist.attributes['digits'])
```


## Centered embedding (MNIST)

```
import pymde
mnist = pymde.datasets.MNIST()
mde = pymde.preserve_neighbors(
    mnist.data,
    constraint=pymde.Centered())
X = mde.embed()
pymde.plot(X, color_by=mnist.attributes['digits'])
```


## Embedding (US counties)



## Embedding (US counties)



## Embedding (US counties)



## Comparison to UMAP, t-SNE (US counties)




UMAP (left) and t-SNE (right) embeddings

